

# *General Solution of the Scattering Equations*

Louise Dolan

University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill

MHV30 at Fermilab, March 2016

(work with Peter Goddard, IAS Princeton)

1511.09441 [hep-th], *General Solution of the Scattering Equations*

1402.7374 [hep-th], *The Polynomial Form of the Scattering Equations*

1311.5200 [hep-th], *Proof of the Formula of Cachazo, He and Yuan for Yang-Mills Tree Amplitudes in Arbitrary Dimension*

Freddy Cachazo, Song He, and Ellis Yuan (CHY)

1309.0885 [hep-th],

*Scattering of Massless Particles: Scalars, Gluons and Gravitons*

1307.2199 [hep-th],

*Scattering of Massless Particles in Arbitrary Dimensions*

1306.6575 [hep-th],

*Scattering Equations and KLT Orthogonality*

Carlos Cardona and Chrysostomos Kalousios

1511.05915 [hep-th]

*Elimination and Recursions in the Scattering Equations*

## *Outline*

- Tree amplitudes for Yang-Mills and massless  $\phi^3$  theory from the Scattering Equations in any dimension
- Möbius invariance
- Polynomial form of the Scattering Equations
- The General Solution and Elimination Theory

## Tree Amplitudes

$$\mathcal{A}(k_1, k_2, \dots, k_N) = \oint_{\mathcal{O}} \Psi_N(z, k, \epsilon) \prod'_{a \in A} \frac{1}{f_a(z, k)} \prod_{a \in A} \frac{dz_a}{(z_a - z_{a+1})^2} / d\omega,$$

$\mathcal{O}$  encircles the zeros of  $f_a(z, k)$ ,

$$f_a(z, k) \equiv \sum_{\substack{b \in A \\ b \neq a}} \frac{k_a \cdot k_b}{z_a - z_b} = 0 \quad \text{The Scattering Equations}$$

(Cachazo, He, Yuan 2013) ... (Fairlie, Roberts 1972)

$$k_a^2 = 0, \quad \sum_{a \in A} k_a^\mu = 0, \quad A = \{1, 2, \dots, N\}$$

Motivated by twistor string theory, DG proved  $\mathcal{A}(k_1, k_2, \dots, k_n)$  are  $\phi^3$  and Yang-Mills gluon field theory tree amplitudes, as conjectured by CHY.

Scattering Equations  $f_a(z, k) = 0$ ,  $k_a^2 = 0$   $z_a \rightarrow \frac{\alpha z_a + \beta}{\gamma z_a + \delta}$ ,

$U(z, k) \equiv \prod_{a < b} (z_a - z_b)^{-k_a \cdot k_b}$  is Möbius invariant,

$$\frac{\partial U}{\partial z_a} = -f_a U, \quad f_a(z, k) = \sum_{\substack{b \in A \\ b \neq a}} \frac{k_a \cdot k_b}{z_a - z_b},$$

implying  $f_a(z) \rightarrow f_a(z) \frac{(\gamma z_a + \delta)^2}{(\alpha \delta - \beta \gamma)}$ .

The infinitesimal transformations  $\delta z_a = \epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2 z_a + \epsilon_3 z_a^2$ ,

$U(z + \delta z) \sim U(z) + \frac{\partial U}{\partial z_a} \delta z_a$ , so the  $f_a$  satisfy the three relations

$$\sum_{a \in A} f_a = 0, \quad \sum_{a \in A} z_a f_a = 0, \quad \sum_{a \in A} z_a^2 f_a = 0.$$

There are  $N - 3$  independent Scattering Equations  $f_a = 0$ .

Fixing  $z_1 = \infty, z_2 = 1, z_N = 0$ , there are  $N - 3$  variables, and generally  $(N - 3)!$  solutions  $z_a(k)$ .

## Total Amplitudes

$\Psi_N = \prod_{a \in A} (z_a - z_{a+1}) \times \text{Pfaffian for Yang-Mills}$

For example,  $N = 4$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} A^{abcd}(k_1, k_2, k_3, k_4) &= g^2 \left( f_{abe} f_{ecd} \frac{n_s}{s} + f_{bce} f_{ead} \frac{n_t}{t} + f_{cae} f_{ebd} \frac{n_u}{u} \right) \\ &= g^2 \left( (\text{tr}(T_a T_b T_c T_d) + \text{tr}(T_d T_c T_b T_a)) A(1234) \right. \\ &\quad + (\text{tr}(T_a T_c T_d T_b) + \text{tr}(T_b T_d T_c T_a)) A(1342) \\ &\quad \left. + (\text{tr}(T_a T_d T_b T_c) + \text{tr}(T_c T_b T_d T_a)) A(1423) \right), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} n_s &= (\epsilon_1 \cdot \epsilon_2 (k_1 - k_2)_\alpha + 2\epsilon_1 \cdot k_2 \epsilon_{2\alpha} - 2\epsilon_2 \cdot k_1 \epsilon_{1\alpha}) \\ &\quad \times (\epsilon_3 \cdot \epsilon_4 (k_3 - k_4)^\alpha + 2\epsilon_3 \cdot k_4 \epsilon_4^\alpha - 2\epsilon_4 \cdot k_3 \epsilon_3^\alpha) \\ &\quad + (\epsilon_1 \cdot \epsilon_3 \epsilon_2 \cdot \epsilon_4 - \epsilon_1 \cdot \epsilon_4 \epsilon_2 \cdot \epsilon_3) s, \end{aligned}$$

$$A(1234) = \frac{n_s}{s} + \frac{n_t}{t}. \quad s = (k_1 + k_2)^2, t = (k_2 + k_3)^2, u = (k_1 + k_3)^2$$

$$A(k_1, k_2, k_3, k_4) = A(1234).$$

## A Single Scalar Field, Massless $\phi^3$

A single massless scalar field,  $\Psi_N = 1$ .

$$\mathcal{A}^\phi(k_1, k_2, \dots, k_N) = \oint_{\mathcal{O}} \prod'_{a \in A} \frac{1}{f_a(z, k)} \prod_{a \in A} \frac{dz_a}{(z_a - z_{a+1})^2} / d\omega$$

$$\mathcal{A}^\phi(k_1, k_2, k_3, k_4) = \frac{1}{s} + \frac{1}{t},$$

$$\begin{aligned} A^{\text{total}} &= \mathcal{A}^\phi(k_1, k_2, k_3, k_4) + \mathcal{A}^\phi(k_1, k_3, k_2, k_4) + \mathcal{A}^\phi(k_1, k_4, k_2, k_3) \\ &= 2 \left( \frac{1}{s} + \frac{1}{t} + \frac{1}{u} \right) \end{aligned}$$

Rewriting the Scattering Equations as Polynomial Equations whose degrees are as small as possible:

For a subset  $U \subset A$ ,

$$k_U \equiv \sum_{a \in U} k_a, \quad z_U \equiv \prod_{b \in U} z_b,$$

then the Scattering Equations

$$\sum_{\substack{b \in A \\ b \neq a}} \frac{k_a \cdot k_b}{z_a - z_b} = 0$$

are equivalent to the homogeneous polynomial equations

$$\sum_{\substack{U \subset A \\ |U|=m}} k_U^2 z_U = 0, \quad 2 \leq m \leq N-2,$$

where the sum is over all  $\frac{N!}{m!(N-m)!}$  subsets  $U \subset A$  with  $m$  elements.

## Proof of the Polynomial Form of the Scattering Equations

$$p^\mu(z) \equiv \sum_{a \in A} \frac{k_a^\mu}{z - z_a}, \quad \sum_a k_a^\mu = 0, \quad k_a^2 = 0,$$

$$p^2(z) = \sum_{a,b} \frac{k_a \cdot k_b}{(z - z_a)(z - z_b)} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_a \frac{1}{z - z_a} \sum_{b \neq a} \frac{k_a \cdot k_b}{(z_a - z_b)} = 0$$

$$2p^2(z) \prod_{c \in A} (z - z_c) = \sum_{a,b \in A} k_a \cdot k_b \prod_{\substack{c \in A \\ c \neq a,b}} (z - z_c)$$

$$= \sum_{m=0}^{N-2} z^{N-m-2} \sum_{\substack{UCA \\ |U|=m}} z_U \sum_{\substack{SC\bar{U} \\ |S|=2}} k_S^2 = 0$$

where  $\bar{U} = \{b \in A : b \notin U\}$ . Using  $\sum_{\substack{SC\bar{U} \\ |S|=2}} k_S^2 = k_U^2 = k_{\bar{U}}^2$ , then

$$\tilde{h}_m \equiv \sum_{\substack{UCA \\ |U|=m}} k_U^2 z_U = 0.$$

$\tilde{h}_m = 0$  are the Unique Möbius Invariant Polynomial Equations

$L_{-1}$  denotes the generator of translations,

$$L_{-1} = - \sum_{a \in A} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_a}, \quad L_{-1} \tilde{h}_m = -(N - m - 1) \tilde{h}_{m-1},$$

$L_1$ , special conformal transformations

$$L_1 = - \sum_{a \in A} z_a^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial z_a} + \Sigma_1^A, \quad L_1 \tilde{h}_m = (m - 1) \tilde{h}_{m+1}, \quad \Sigma_1^A \equiv \sum_{a \in A} z_a.$$

$L_0$ , scale transformations

$$L_0 = - \sum_{a \in A} z_a \frac{\partial}{\partial z_a} + \frac{N}{2}, \quad L_0 \tilde{h}_m = (\frac{1}{2}N - m) \tilde{h}_m,$$

$$\text{so that } [L_1, L_{-1}] = 2L_0, \quad [L_0, L_{\pm 1}] = \mp L_{\pm 1}.$$

The  $\tilde{h}_m$ ,  $2 \leq m \leq N - 2$ , form an  $(N - 3)$ -dimensional multiplet of the Möbius algebra, *i.e.* a representation of 'Möbius spin'  $\frac{1}{2}N - 2$ .

The equations  $\tilde{h}_m(z_1, \dots, z_n) = \sum_{\substack{U \subset A \\ |U|=m}} k_U^2 z_U = 0$  determine a discrete set of points (up to Möbius invariance).

$$z_1 \rightarrow \infty, z_{N-1} \text{ fixed}, z_N \rightarrow 0,$$

## Amplitudes in terms of Polynomial Constraints

$$\mathcal{A}_N = \oint_{\mathcal{O}} \Psi_N(z, k) \prod_{m=1}^{N-3} \frac{1}{h_m(z, k)} \prod_{2 \leq a < b \leq N-1} (z_a - z_b) \prod_{a=2}^{N-2} \frac{z_a dz_{a+1}}{(z_a - z_{a+1})^2}.$$

$$h_m = \lim_{z_1 \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\tilde{h}_{m+1}}{z_1} = \frac{1}{m!} \sum_{\substack{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m \neq 1, N \\ a_j \text{ uneq.}}} k_{1a_1 \dots a_m}^2 z_{a_1} z_{a_2} \dots z_{a_m}, \quad 1 \leq m \leq N-3,$$

The  $N-3$  polynomial equations  $h_m = 0$ , of order  $m$ , linear in each  $z_a$  individually, are equivalent to the Scattering Equations  $f_a = \sum_b \frac{k_a \cdot k_b}{z_a - z_b} = 0$ .

By Bézout's theorem, they determine  $(N-3)!$  solutions for the  $(N-3)$  ratios  $z_2/z_{N-1}, z_3/z_{N-1}, \dots, z_{N-2}/z_{N-1}$ .

$$k_i^2 = 0, \quad k_{12\dots a}^2 = (k_1 + k_2 + \dots + k_a)^2 = 2k_1 \cdot k_2 + 2k_1 \cdot k_3 + \dots,$$

The Scattering Equations:

$$N = 4 \quad h_1 = k_{12}^2 z_2 + k_{13}^2 z_3 = 0,$$

$$N = 5 \quad h_1 = k_{12}^2 z_2 + k_{13}^2 z_3 + k_{14}^2 z_4 = 0,$$

$$h_2 = k_{123}^2 z_2 z_3 + k_{124}^2 z_2 z_4 + k_{134}^2 z_3 z_4 = 0,$$

$$N = 6 \quad h_1 = k_{12}^2 z_2 + k_{13}^2 z_3 + k_{14}^2 z_4 + k_{15}^2 z_5 = 0,$$

$$h_2 = k_{123}^2 z_2 z_3 + k_{124}^2 z_2 z_4 + k_{125}^2 z_2 z_5$$

$$+ k_{134}^2 z_3 z_4 + k_{135}^2 z_3 z_5 + k_{145}^2 z_4 z_5 = 0,$$

$$h_3 = k_{1234}^2 z_2 z_3 z_4 + k_{1235}^2 z_2 z_3 z_5 + k_{1345}^2 z_3 z_4 z_5 = 0.$$

$$N \quad h_1, h_2, \dots, h_{N-3} = 0, \quad z_2, z_3, \dots, z_{N-2}, z_{N-1}.$$

## Amplitudes as Algebraic Objects attached to a Variety

$$\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{N}} = \sum_{\text{solutions}} \frac{\Psi_{\mathcal{N}}(z, k)}{J(z, k)} \prod_{2 \leq a < b \leq N-1} (z_a - z_b) \prod_{a=2}^{N-2} \frac{z_a dz_a}{(z_a - z_{a+1})^2}$$
$$J(z, k) = \det \left[ \frac{\partial h_m}{\partial z_a} \right]_{\substack{1 \leq m \leq N-3 \\ 2 \leq a \leq N-2}}.$$

The integrals are somewhat symbolic, just sums over the solutions of the Scattering Equations, and hence rational functions of the Mandelstam variables.

For the ring of polynomials in  $CP^{N-3}(z_2, \dots, z_{N-2})$ , consider the ideal associated with the  $h_1, \dots, h_{N-3}$  polynomials. The equations  $h_m = 0$  define a projective variety, which is a set of  $(N-3)!$  points.

The goal is to understand the amplitudes in terms of natural algebraic objects attached to the variety in  $CP^{N-3}$  described by the Scattering Equations.

To solve  $h_m(z, k) = 0$ ,  $1 \leq m \leq N - 3$ ,

we will eliminate  $z_a$ ,  $2 \leq a \leq N - 3$ ,

in terms of  $u = z_{N-2}$  and  $v = z_{N-1}$ , to give

a single variable polynomial equation of order  $(N - 3)!$  in  $u/v$ ,  
whose roots determine the solutions of the Scattering Equations.

Linear equations determine  $z_2, \dots, z_{N-3}$  from  $u/v$ .

## Solving the Scattering Equations

$$N = 4$$

$$h_1 = k_{12}^2 z_2 + k_{13}^2 z_3 = 0, \quad z_2/z_3 = -k_{13}^2/k_{12}^2 = -k_1 \cdot k_3/k_1 \cdot k_2.$$

$$N = 5$$

$$\sigma_{ab\dots} \equiv (k_1 + k_a + k_b + \dots)^2$$

$$z_2 = x, z_3 = u, z_4 = v$$

$$h_1 = \sigma_2 x + \sigma_3 u + \sigma_4 v = 0,$$

$$h_2 = \sigma_{23} x u + \sigma_{24} x v + \sigma_{34} u v = 0,$$

eliminating  $x$  yields a quadratic equation for  $u/v$ .

This can be written as

$$0 = \begin{vmatrix} \sigma_3 u + \sigma_4 v & \sigma_2 \\ \sigma_{34} u v & \sigma_{23} u + \sigma_{24} v \end{vmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix} h_1 & \frac{\partial h_1}{\partial x} \\ h_2 & \frac{\partial h_2}{\partial x} \end{vmatrix} = \Delta_5,$$

which is independent of  $x$ .

Another way to establish that  $\Delta_5$  is independent of  $x$

Let  $\Delta_5 = 0$  be the condition on  $u, v$  such that  $h_1 = 0, h_2 = 0$  have a common solution for some  $x$ .

If  $\Delta_5 = \begin{vmatrix} h_1 & \frac{\partial h_1}{\partial x} \\ h_2 & \frac{\partial h_2}{\partial x} \end{vmatrix} = 0$  for some  $x = x_0$ , then there exists a solution  $\xi$  such that

$$h_1(x_0 + \xi, u, v) = h_1(x_0, u, v) + \xi \frac{\partial h_1}{\partial x}(x_0, u, v) = 0,$$

$$h_2(x_0 + \xi, u, v) = h_2(x_0, u, v) + \xi \frac{\partial h_2}{\partial x}(x_0, u, v) = 0,$$

since  $h_m$  is linear in each of the variables  $x, u, v$  separately.

Then  $\Delta_5$  is independent of  $x$ .

$N = 6$  write  $(x, y, u, v) = (z_2, z_3, z_4, z_5)$

$$h_1 = \sigma_2 x + \sigma_3 y + \sigma_4 u + \sigma_5 v = 0,$$

$$h_2 = \sigma_{23} xy + \sigma_{24} xu + \sigma_{34} yu + \sigma_{25} xv + \sigma_{35} yv + \sigma_{45} uv = 0,$$

$$h_3 = \sigma_{234} xyu + \sigma_{235} xyv + \sigma_{245} xuv + \sigma_{345} yuv = 0,$$

eliminating  $x, y$  yields a sextic equation for  $u/v$ .

This can be written

$$\Delta_6 = \begin{vmatrix} h_1 & h_1^y & h_1^x & h_1^{xy} & 0 & 0 \\ h_2 & h_2^y & h_2^x & h_2^{xy} & 0 & 0 \\ h_3 & h_3^y & h_3^x & h_3^{xy} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & h_1 & h_1^y & h_1^x & h_1^{xy} \\ 0 & 0 & h_2 & h_2^y & h_2^x & h_2^{xy} \\ 0 & 0 & h_3 & h_3^y & h_3^x & h_3^{xy} \end{vmatrix} = 0,$$

$$h_m^x = \frac{\partial h_m}{\partial x}, \quad h_m^{xy} = \frac{\partial^2 h_m}{\partial x \partial y}, \quad \text{etc.} \quad \text{where} \quad \frac{\partial \Delta_6}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial \Delta_6}{\partial y} = 0$$

Elimination theory developed by Sylvester and Cayley

Supplement  $h_1 = h_2 = h_3 = 0$  with  $xh_1 = xh_2 = xh_3 = 0$ ,  
providing 6 linear relations between  $1, x, y, xy, x^2, x^2y$ ,

$$h_m = a_m + b_my + c_mx + d_mxy = 0,$$

$$xh_m = a_mx + b_mxy + c_mx^2 + d_mx^2y = 0,$$

The condition of their consistency is

$$\begin{vmatrix} a_1 & b_1 & c_1 & d_1 & 0 & 0 \\ a_2 & b_2 & c_2 & d_2 & 0 & 0 \\ a_3 & b_3 & c_3 & d_3 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & a_1 & b_1 & c_1 & d_1 \\ 0 & 0 & a_2 & b_2 & c_2 & d_2 \\ 0 & 0 & a_3 & b_3 & c_3 & d_3 \end{vmatrix} = 0,$$

Elimination theory developed by Sylvester and Cayley

Supplement  $h_1 = h_2 = h_3 = 0$  with  $xh_1 = xh_2 = xh_3 = 0$ ,  
 providing 6 linear relations between  $1, x, y, xy, x^2, x^2y$ ,

$$h_m = a_m + b_my + c_mx + d_mxy = 0,$$

$$xh_m = a_mx + b_mxy + c_mx^2 + d_mx^2y = 0,$$

The condition of their consistency is equal to

$$\Delta_6 = \begin{vmatrix} h_1 & h_1^y & h_1^x & h_1^{xy} & 0 & 0 \\ h_2 & h_2^y & h_2^x & h_2^{xy} & 0 & 0 \\ h_3 & h_3^y & h_3^x & h_3^{xy} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & h_1 & h_1^y & h_1^x & h_1^{xy} \\ 0 & 0 & h_2 & h_2^y & h_2^x & h_2^{xy} \\ 0 & 0 & h_3 & h_3^y & h_3^x & h_3^{xy} \end{vmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix} a_1 & b_1 & c_1 & d_1 & 0 & 0 \\ a_2 & b_2 & c_2 & d_2 & 0 & 0 \\ a_3 & b_3 & c_3 & c_3 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & a_1 & b_1 & c_1 & d_1 \\ 0 & 0 & a_2 & b_2 & c_2 & d_2 \\ 0 & 0 & a_3 & b_3 & c_3 & d_3 \end{vmatrix} = 0,$$

since the left determinant is independent of  $x, y$ .

As before, the independence of  $\Delta_6$  from  $x, y$  can be established by noting that  $\Delta_6 = 0$  is also the condition for the existence of  $\xi, \eta$  such that

$$h_m(x + \xi, y + \eta, u, v) = h_m + \xi h_m^x + \eta h_m^y + \eta \xi h_m^{xy} = 0,$$

$$\xi h_m(x + \xi, y + \eta, u, v) = \xi h_m + \xi^2 h_m^x + \xi \eta h_m^y + \xi^2 \eta h_m^{xy} = 0.$$

So  $\Delta_6 = 0$  provides the condition on  $u, v$  for a common solution  $h_1 = h_2 = h_3 = 0$  independent of  $x, y$ .

$$\begin{pmatrix} h_1 & h_1^y & h_1^x & h_1^{xy} & 0 & 0 \\ h_2 & h_2^y & h_2^x & h_2^{xy} & 0 & 0 \\ h_3 & h_3^y & h_3^x & h_3^{xy} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & h_1 & h_1^y & h_1^x & h_1^{xy} \\ 0 & 0 & h_2 & h_2^y & h_2^x & h_2^{xy} \\ 0 & 0 & h_3 & h_3^y & h_3^x & h_3^{xy} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \eta \\ \xi \\ \eta \xi \\ \xi^2 \\ \xi^2 \eta \end{pmatrix} = 0.$$

Then compute  $x, y$  in terms of  $u, v$  from linear relations

Use the null vector to find

$$\begin{pmatrix} h_2 & h_2^y & h_2^{xy} & 0 & 0 \\ h_3 & h_3^y & h_3^{xy} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & h_1^y & h_1^x & h_1^{xy} \\ 0 & 0 & h_2^y & h_2^x & h_2^{xy} \\ 0 & 0 & h_3^y & h_3^x & h_3^{xy} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \eta \\ \eta\xi \\ \xi^2 \\ \xi^2\eta \end{pmatrix} = - \begin{pmatrix} h_2^x \\ h_3^x \\ h_1 \\ h_2 \\ h_3 \end{pmatrix} \xi,$$

then

$$\eta = \frac{\xi\eta}{\xi} = - \begin{vmatrix} h_2 & h_2^y & h_2^{xy} & 0 & 0 \\ h_3 & h_3^y & h_3^{xy} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & h_1^y & h_1^x & h_1^{xy} \\ 0 & 0 & h_2^y & h_2^x & h_2^{xy} \\ 0 & 0 & h_3^y & h_3^x & h_3^{xy} \end{vmatrix}^{-1} \begin{vmatrix} h_2 & h_2^y & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ h_3 & h_3^y & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & h_1 & h_1^x & h_1^{xy} \\ 0 & 0 & h_2 & h_2^x & h_2^{xy} \\ 0 & 0 & h_3 & h_3^x & h_3^{xy} \end{vmatrix}.$$

For  $\eta = 0$ ,  $y$  satisfies  $h_m(x, y, u, v) = 0$  for some  $x$ .

So compute  $y$  in terms of  $u, v$  from the linear relation

$$\eta = \frac{\xi\eta}{\xi} = - \begin{vmatrix} h_1^y & h_1^x & h_1^{xy} \\ h_2^y & h_2^x & h_2^{xy} \\ h_3^y & h_3^x & h_3^{xy} \end{vmatrix}^{-1} \begin{vmatrix} h_1 & h_1^x & h_1^{xy} \\ h_2 & h_2^x & h_2^{xy} \\ h_3 & h_3^x & h_3^{xy} \end{vmatrix} = 0.$$

Linear in  $y$ , independent of  $x$ .

Notation:

$$h \equiv \begin{pmatrix} h_1 \\ h_2 \\ h_3 \end{pmatrix}, \quad |h \ h^x \ h^{xy}| = 0.$$

$N=7$

Writing  $(z_2, z_3, z_4, z_5, z_6) = (x, y, z, u, v)$  we will eliminate  $x, y, z$  to obtain a single variable equation for  $u/v$  of order  $(N - 3)! = 24$ , using the 24 equations

$$h_m = yh_m = xh_m = xyh_m = x^2h_m = x^2yh_m = 0, \quad 1 \leq m \leq 4$$

providing linear relations between the 24 monomials

$$x^p y^q z^r, \quad 0 \leq p \leq 3, 0 \leq q \leq 2, 0 \leq r \leq 1,$$

with

$$h_m = a_m + b_m z + c_m y + d_m x + e_m yz + f_m xz + g_m xy + j_m xyz.$$

$N=7$

Writing  $(z_2, z_3, z_4, z_5, z_6) = (x, y, z, u, v)$  we will eliminate  $x, y, z$  to obtain a single variable equation for  $u/v$  of order  $(N - 3)! = 24$ , using the 24 equations

$$h_m = yh_m = xh_m = xyh_m = x^2h_m = x^2yh_m = 0, \quad 1 \leq m \leq 4$$
$$C_2 = \{1, x, y, xy, x^2, x^2y\},$$

providing linear relations between the 24 monomials

$$C_3 = \{x^p y^q z^r, \quad 0 \leq p \leq 3, 0 \leq q \leq 2, 0 \leq r \leq 1\},$$

with

$$h_m = a_m + b_m z + c_m y + d_m x + e_m yz + f_m xz + g_m xy + j_m xyz,$$
$$B_3 = x^m y^n z^p, \quad m, n, p = 0, 1.$$

$$\Delta_7 = |M_7| = 0 =$$

$$\begin{vmatrix} h & h^z & h^y & h^{yz} & 0 & 0 & h^x & h^{xz} & h^{xy} & h^{xyz} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & h & h^z & h^y & h^{yz} & 0 & 0 & h^x & h^{xz} & h^{xy} & h^{xyz} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & h & h^z & h^y & h^{yz} & 0 & 0 & h^x & h^{xz} & h^{xy} & h^{xyz} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & h & h^z & h^y & h^{yz} & 0 & 0 & h^x & h^{xz} & h^{xy} & h^{xyz} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & h & h^z & h^y & h^{yz} & 0 & 0 & h^x & h^{xz} & h^{xy} & h^{xyz} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & h & h^z & h^y & h^{yz} & 0 & 0 & h^x & h^{xz} & h^{xy} & h^{xyz} \end{vmatrix}$$

where  $h_m^{xyz} = \partial_x \partial_y \partial_z h_m$ ,  $h = \begin{pmatrix} h_1 \\ h_2 \\ h_3 \\ h_4 \end{pmatrix}$ .

$\Delta_7$  vanishes for  $h_m = 0$ , and is independent of  $x, y, z$ .

The rows of  $M_7$  are labeled by  $\alpha \in m, C_2$ , the columns by  $\beta \in C_3$ .

The non-zero entries are  $M_{m\alpha, \beta} = h_m^\gamma$  if  $\beta = \alpha\gamma$ ,  $\gamma \in B_3$ .

$\deg M_{m\alpha, \beta} = m + \deg \alpha + \deg \beta$ ,

$\deg \Delta_7 = \sum_{m, C_2} (m + \deg \alpha) + \sum_{C_4} \deg \beta = 24$ .

The coefficient of  $v^{24}$  in  $\Delta_7$  is

$$h_1^6 (h_2^z)^2 (h_2^y)^2 (h_2^x)^2 (h_3^{yz})^2 (h_3^{zx})^2 (h_3^{xy})^2 (h_4^{xyz})^6 = \sigma_6^6 \sigma_{26}^2 \sigma_{36}^2 \sigma_{46}^2 \sigma_{236}^2 \sigma_{246}^2 \sigma_{346}^2 \sigma_{2346}^2.$$

## General $N$

$(N - 3)!$  relations  $h_m C_{N-5} = 0$  (labeling rows  $m, \alpha$ )  
between the  $(N - 3)!$  variables  $C_{N-4}$  (labeling columns  $\beta$ ).

$$C_M = \left\{ \prod_{a=1}^M x_a^{m_a} : 0 \leq m_a \leq M - a + 1, 1 \leq a \leq M \right\}$$

$$B_M = \left\{ \prod_{a=1}^M x_a^{m_a} : 0 \leq m_a \leq 1, 1 \leq a \leq M \right\}$$

$$\begin{aligned} M_{m\alpha,\beta} &= h_m^\gamma && \text{if } \beta = \alpha\gamma, \quad \gamma \in B_{N-4}, \\ &= 0 && \text{if } \beta \notin \alpha B_{N-4}. \end{aligned}$$

$$\Delta_N = \det M = 0.$$

$$\deg M_{m\alpha,\beta} = m + \deg \alpha + \deg \beta,$$

$$\deg \Delta_N = \sum_{m=1}^{N-3} \sum_{\alpha \in C_{N-5}} (m + \deg \alpha) - \sum_{\beta \in C_{N-4}} \deg \beta = (N - 3)!$$

Since no element of  $M$  is more than linear in  $v$ , the term  $v^{(N-3)!}$  in  $\det M$  must come from the product of linear factors  $u^0 v^1$ .

The element  $M_{m\alpha,\beta}$  is of degree one when  $m - \deg \gamma = 1$ .

The coefficient of  $v^{(N-3)!}$  contains

$$\prod_{\gamma \in B_{N-4}} [h_m^\gamma]^{n_\gamma},$$

where  $m = \deg \gamma + 1$ ,  $n_\gamma = (N - 4 - \deg \gamma)!(\deg \gamma)!$

## Summary

The scattering equations can be reformulated as polynomial equations that are linear in the variables  $z_a$  separately. Using Möbius invariance, the polynomials are reduced to  $(N - 3)$  equations in  $(N - 3)$  variables.

Facilitated by this linearity, elimination theory is used to construct a polynomial equation of degree  $(N - 3)!$  for the single variable  $z_{N-2}/z_{N-1}$ , determining the  $(N-3)!$  solutions expected from Bézout's theorem. Linear relations relate the remaining variables to the single variable.

For the  $(N - 3)$  equations  $h_m(z_2, \dots, z_{N-2}; k_1, \dots, k_{N-1}) = 0$ , the  $(N - 3)!$  solutions  $z_a(\mathbf{k})$  in  $CP^{N-3}$  define a set of points forming the variety of the ideal  $\langle h_1, h_2, \dots, h_{N-3} \rangle$ . The goal is to understand the  $N$ -point scattering amplitudes, which appear as rational functions of the kinematic invariants, as natural algebraic objects attached to this variety.